The Senior Independent Work & Thesis Guide

The Department of Chemistry Princeton University

2023 - 2024

Chemistry is often referred to as the Central Science due to its interconnections with the other STEM fields. Chemists play vital roles in biology, medicine, material science, environmental science, and many other disciplines. Careers in chemistry range from traditional laboratory paths of synthetic organic chemistry in pharmaceutical applications and quality control scientists in consumer products and environmental settings to more applied careers in medical forensics, energy technologies, and art conservation. Many of the chemistry faculty at Princeton are also associated faculty in other University departments. The course requirements for the chemistry major reflect this interdisciplinary philosophy. Representative Thesis titles from the Class of 2023 demonstrating the wide spectrum of thesis topics.

Representative Senior Thesis titles from the class of 2023:

- "Evaluation of Phenoxythiazoline-Cobalt Catalysts for C(sp²)–C(sp³) Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions"
- "Optimization of the Light-Driven Contra-Thermodynamic Chain Walking Isomerization of Olefins"
- "Nickel Metallaphotoredox Enabled Phosphonylation of Alkyl Bromides"
- "A Concise and Industrially Viable Synthesis of Squalene"
- "Characterizing the Extein Dependence and Catalytic Mechanism of the Ultra-fast Split Intein NrdJ-1"
- "Analyzing Metabolism with NMR Spectroscopy: A Comparison of Multivariate Statistical Tools in MetaboAnalyst, MATLAB, and R"
- "Exploring Magnetism in Chromium-Based Pyroxenes by Tuning Germanium and Silicon Content"
- "So, It Turns Out Lanthanides Aren't All the Same: Structural Stability of LnSb_x Te_{2-x-δ} Compounds"
- "Nanoscale Dancing: Photophoretic Swimmers and their Stochastic Movement"
- "Spectroscopic Characteristics of Photosynthetic Cryptophyte Algae: Recombinant Phycobiliproteins and Protein Subunits"

Contents

Senior Thesis Work	4
Goals for Senior Thesis Work	4
Senior Thesis Work Timeline	5
General Thesis Writing Guidelines	6
Abstract Introduction Methods Results Discussion, Conclusions, and Future Work Reference and Attributions Figures, Graphics, and Illustrations Appendices Oral Thesis Defense – Departmental Comprehensive Exam	
Senior Thesis Work Assessment and Grading	
Senior Thesis Independent Work Senior Thesis Grading Guidance about Senior Thesis <i>Scholarship</i> Guidance about Senior Thesis <i>Effort</i> Senior Thesis Format and Binding Guidelines	
Respectful and Productive Learning Environment	
Appendix	
EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS SCHOLARSHIP	
EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS EFFORT - FACULTY ADVISOR	24
Senior Comprehensive Exams Evaluation	
Resources	

SENIOR THESIS WORK

During senior year, chemistry majors are expected to continue the laboratory or computational work described in their spring JIW proposal or a new project is outlined in consultation with the PI of the laboratory. Students are expected to continue working in the laboratory with a regular and predictable schedule, and to also begin working on sections that can be included in their final thesis document. For example, materials and methods sections can be written concurrently with laboratory research and results should be summarized as they are obtained.

Seniors are expected to be fully engaged with their research group and attend all research group meetings as required by their PI. Students are regularly called upon to present their current results during group meetings. As a member of the lab, students are expected to be respectful of lab rules and of the space and needs of coworkers. The student and the mentors and/or PI should meet regularly to ensure that the student is investing a reasonable amount of time on the project to move the project forward at a rate that meets the expectations of the advisor.

The Senior Thesis in chemistry is a report on the independent research carried out by the student, either in a laboratory or theoretical setting during their final year at Princeton. It is the culmination of a year's worth of experimental design and execution, and the full analysis of the results. The thesis demonstrates knowledge and the application of fundamental concepts in chemistry. In addition to submission of the written thesis, student will defend their thesis orally

GOALS FOR SENIOR THESIS WORK

The Goals of the Senior Thesis Work are divided into two components: *Effort and Scholarship*. *Effort* is focused on the execution of the research project during the year and reflects the student's direct contribution to the overall project. *Scholarship* goals are related to the communication of results orally and in writing to peers, mentors, faculty and other members of the department and community at large.

Effort goals are:

- to investigate a scientific question using the scientific method, by designing and conducting experiments. A student will ultimately take both intellectual and practical responsibility for all aspects of the project, constantly evaluating results to determine the next steps to pursue.
- to develop context and approaches for the project by uncovering relevant materials well beyond those provided by the advisor or research mentor.
- to persist diligently in the face of failures and suggest thoughtful alternatives once further persistence seems counterproductive.
- to become an excellent group citizen, contributing to common laboratory duties, and being highly respectful of laboratory rules as well as the space and needs of coworkers.
- to become an active member of a research community and be a good citizen within the department.
- to appreciate diverse approaches to research, and work in a safe, responsible, and ethical manner.

Scholarship goals are:

- to understand the genres of scientific papers and presentations and how these different genres are applicable to the different disciplines in Chemistry.
- to understand the standard structure of a scientific paper and the purpose of each section, and to create both a research proposal (Junior Year) and formal thesis (Senior Year) focused on the Independent Work research project.
- to write a good *Abstract* that have is both concise and thorough and stands alone as a succinct summary.
- to construct a *Background and Significance* section which convincingly establishes the scientific motivation by providing a critical evaluation of the published literature, is thoroughly researched, and masterfully integrates the thesis problem into the context of prior knowledge.
- to write a succinct, specific, and complete set of *Specific Aims* which are supported by a detailed *Experimental Approach*, justifying the scientific steps needed to answer the research question.
- to write a succinct and complete *Methods* section which provides all the information necessary for anyone to replicate the experiments.
- to present *Results* clearly and effectively, depicted accurately with appropriate figures, and presented in a logical format.
- to write a *Discussion* section that thoroughly analyzes and interprets all results and draws *Conclusions* to direct future work.
- to include a *Reference* section that attributes prior research and maintain good citations that are uniformly formatted throughout the text.
- to deliver Independent Work results orally in a formal scientific presentation for members of the scientific community.

SENIOR THESIS WORK TIMELINE

Senior Fall	Laboratory Research	Writing Support Workshops					
September	Seniors continue their laboratory	Optional workshops are held during the					
October	research projects, as proposed in their	fall to help students master specific					
November	according to laboratory updates. Regular	requirements for writing the Senior					
December	check-ins with the PI should occur.	i nesis.					
Wintersession							
January	Students complete a Thesis Outline which introductory sections, research done to dat PIs meet to review the outline and discuss completed and submitted to the DUS.	includes a detailed outline of the e, and planned experiments. Students and progress. A formal progress update is					
Senior Spring	Laboratory Research	Writing Support Work Sessions					
February	Students continue laboratory research	Optional mentored evening writing sessions are provided as a work space for seniors to dedicate to writing sections of their thesis and asking specific					
March	document.	questions about style and format. Seniors can schedule individual appointments with the ADUS for additional writing questions and technical help.					
		questions and technical help.					
April	Chemistry Department Senior Thesis is due	e Mid-April.					

GENERAL THESIS WRITING GUIDELINES

It is essential that readers be able to follow the logic of your ideas or arguments. To this end, here are some general principles for scientific writing that you may find useful. These apply throughout the thesis (and for that matter in most everything you write!).

A paragraph should contain *only one* main idea (or claim or argument). The purpose of each paragraph is to present a stepwise development of its central idea. In general, six to eight sentences are the ideal length of a paragraph, the usual number required to develop one main idea and keep the reader's attention. A way to tell if you're on the right track is to write one word or phrase in the margin of each paragraph identifying its central idea. The transition between paragraphs must also be logical, leading the reader through your thought process.

Each of you has taken a freshman writing seminar. The thesis is the ultimate application of what you learned there, and in many ways the writing seminars aim to anticipate the thesis. Thus, you should certainly refer back to the critiques from the professional staff of the writing center for specific guidance about your own expository style. The writing program aims to provide guidance not only about writing but also about scholarship as well. Their course materials may therefore be useful at an early stage in the design of your thesis work, not just for the writing.

One of the hallmarks of high-quality scholarship is accurate and precise - even elegant - use of the language. However, unless you can use the language with absolute correctness, attempts at elegance will appear foolish. There is nothing wrong with a simple but correct style. Educate yourself about the many pitfalls in American usage (lead vs. led; imply vs. infer; the list is long but there are several websites devoted to clarifying common misusages). A well-written and well-argued thesis can command a higher grade than a poorly written one, and can redeem inconclusive results or unsuccessful experiments.

Finally, your thesis advisor has valuable experience with publishing papers, and should also be your most important source for critical input on scientific aspects of your work. Some of your Ph.D. student or postdoc mentors will also have experience with writing published papers, plus detailed knowledge of your work. You should encourage all readers of your drafts to make scientific as well as expository critiques. *Note that this implies you should seek scientific input while you still have time to act on it.* It is advisable to seek such advice outside your own research group as well, to provide perspective that is independent of the pre-existing narrative about your project.

All these must be organized into sections Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and References. If you are unsure what the contents of each section should be, consult your thesis advisor, the senior class advisor, or published papers that you find clarifying. The placement and contents of the Methods section may vary by field; consult your advisor and/or prior theses from your group or in your field for guidance.

Abstract

The abstract should summarize as briefly as possible (never more than one page) the motivation, principal results, and conclusions of the thesis. Abstracts are typically easier to write after completion of the main text.

Introduction

The introduction to your thesis should be written to enable a professional chemist to understand why and how you carried out the thesis work. As you are writing for chemical professionals, the introduction need not *and should not* start from first principles. The following sub-sections may help you to frame the introduction appropriately.

Background and Significance

This section should answer the question: **Why** is your work important? *Briefly* sketch the background to your thesis work; critically evaluate relevant existing knowledge; explain the problems and challenges in the field; and identify gaps in our present understanding that can be addressed by the thesis work. Conclude with a statement of what the thesis is about and why you believe it represents an advancement of the field. This exposition is intended to place your work into a broader scientific context, and to provide clear and logical motivation for both the general approach and the specific aims (below) of the thesis. This section usually is 5-6 pages.

Specific Aims

This section serves as a convenient abbreviation to help readers keep everything in order. It is intended to answer, in very specific terms, the question: **What** did you do/attempt to do? No background or other narrative material belongs in this section; it is not meant to stand alone, nor to provide details about the experiments or experimental system, but rather to provide a succinct and specific summary of the attempted and completed research. This section should occupy no more than one page. It could, for example, consist of little more than a well-organized, minimalistic outline describing questions to be answered, hypotheses tested, and experiments conducted. It may be easiest to write this section after writing the rest of the thesis.

Experimental Approach

This section of the Introduction should parallel Specific Aims to explain **how** you approached the thesis question, and why. Justify the approaches you chose. *Briefly* describe the type experiments or calculations you conducted, and how you analyzed the data and interpreted the results. Indicate how each of your results addresses the gaps in present knowledge outlined in Background and Significance. For any gaps that are not addressed, discuss why. With some variation among fields, this section may be quite short or may need to occupy as much as 3-4 pages, but not more.

Note that most of the Introduction could have been written even before you began your work. Recall that the freshman writing seminars implement the idea of writing as an aid to thinking. Getting an early start on writing your Introduction is one of the best ways to leverage this idea for the work you will carry out.

Beyond the above sections, there are no page restrictions on the thesis. It must be complete, and no one grades theses on thickness.

Methods

Methods for experiments, computations, and analysis must all be described here. The relevant rule for this section is that it should provide sufficient detail to enable a trained, competent chemist to replicate your work. The key phrase here is trained, competent chemist. Thus, you can simply name methods that are standard in your field, e.g., electrophoresis, and you should *not* describe them, but you must describe in full any novel features and any deviations from the

standard. No details about the background of the methods belong here unless you have developed a new method (in which case it becomes a result itself, and must be also described in the Results section, with all the details required to replicate it in Methods). Thus, you need not explain how a method works unless you invented it. *Like the Introduction, much of the Methods might be written very early in your work.*

Results

It can sometimes be difficult to draw a sharp line between results and discussion. A rough guideline is that results include things you observed; discussion includes what you think about them. Sometimes it is cumbersome to separate the two, requiring that you reiterate the results when you discuss them. For this reason some published papers combine the two sections, and you may do so. You may find it useful to read several papers of each type to see how each approach works in order to make the best choice for your case.

Each result *must* be explained in words even if it is shown in a figure. Although common knowledge says that a picture is worth a thousand words, scientific figures do not speak for themselves. You must describe what is shown on each figure almost as if the reader cannot see it, e.g., "Figure X shows that the free energy change for the reaction depends linearly on temperature over the range 298 to 325 K, with a slope of \sim -2 kcal/mol K." Again, find examples in the published literature that do this task well – not all do! Finally, *nothing* that is visible on a figure should be left unexplained in the text.

On the other hand, ironically, most experienced readers of scientific papers study the figures first, and sometimes do not even read the text. As a rule, each figure must be designed to be as self-explanatory and self-contained as possible. This requires clear labeling of all the features, and a clear and complete legend to explain *everything* that is shown. Although it goes without saying that all symbols, labels, etc. must be distinguishable, legible, etc., you will need to make a final check to ensure that photoreduction or formatting requirements have not compromised clarity.

Control experiments are an essential part of scientific work that is as important as any others. As chemical professionals, graders will think of the controls *they* would have done, and will judge the quality of your conclusions in this context. Whenever control results are critical to your interpretation of an experiment, they must receive the same careful presentation, description, and interpretation as the rest.

Results that are not new need not be described in the same detail as novel ones. For example, it may be adequate to simply say in words that certain results replicate those of published work, rather than showing a figure. You needn't characterize compounds that are not new; you can simply report that characteristics X, Y, or Z conform to those already reported. On the other hand, anything you did that is new must be documented completely.

Remember that your thesis is evaluated by graders who are professionals in your field. They will value a professional approach to your work. The thesis is no place to whine about why your experiments didn't succeed or why you did not complete the work.

Discussion, Conclusions, and Future Work

The purpose of the Discussion is to interpret your results and to fit them into the context of previous knowledge. Furthermore, the reading committee will judge the quality of your thesis in part on the soundness of your conclusions and the logic of the arguments you marshal to reach them. Thus you must interpret your data, because results, like figures, do not speak for themselves. What do your data suggest? What are the ambiguities in your data? Are there alternative interpretations? These should be considered explicitly, and argued for or against using logically developed arguments. In other words, if you propose that the data support a given conclusion, you must lead readers through your logic so they can judge for themselves if your reasoning is sound.

The Discussion should also include your re-analysis of the current state of knowledge with respect to your thesis problem, given the results you have presented. Finally, how would you continue the project if you were staying on beyond graduation? What would be the next steps? This part of the Discussion should reflect your re-analysis.

Reference and Attributions

Complete citations, *including complete titles*, of all research articles, book chapters, etc. must be included in the list of references, and each one must be cited in the text at the appropriate place. Use a consistent formatting style for citations in the text; consistency is more important than the choice of style, and the same goes for the reference list.

It can sometimes be difficult for students to understand how to correctly reference statements in the thesis. It is not easy to give all-encompassing guidelines either, but the following may be useful. A statement that is common knowledge within your discipline, or that is self-evident from context, need not be referenced, but a specific fact generally requires a reference. For example, the fact that glucose oxidase oxidizes glucose need not be referenced, but its K_m and V_{max} values should be referenced, citing the original work in which the given values were determined. A useful dividing line might be whether the information is presented in the standard textbooks of the field. In other words, if a thesis grader would probably find the information on his or her bookshelf, it probably does not require a citation. However, graders will not appreciate having to do a search to confirm a fact that you don't reference a statement unnecessarily than to omit a needed reference.

Referencing serves several purposes: to indicate information that is already established; to credit those who have established it; to demonstrate scholarship and thereby establish the authority of the cited facts. These points require that the cited source(s) be both authoritative and primary. Scientists have been called professional skeptics; your thesis readers will not accept claims at face value if the citations are substandard. When in doubt you should err toward using the most authoritative source available, generally published works rather than internet sources. Most published works have been vetted by the process of peer review, and experienced readers can often interpret the citation itself to infer the likelihood and quality of such review, and thus the authority of the claim. On the other hand, the provenance of internet sources is generally unknown and sometimes unknowable; exceptions are generally limited to sites maintained by scientific organizations, such as the Protein Data Bank. You should cite the original work that established the facts you cite, rather than a later review, summary, or textbook. High-quality scholarship demands that you have evaluated the quality of the evidence yourself directly in the

published sources: you are responsible for knowing what is in the references you cite. Your grade will reflect the quality of your scholarship and not only the quality of your work.

Direct quotations of the words of others are essentially never used in scientific writing, regardless of whether or how they are attributed, placed in quotes, cited, etc. Just don't do it! And of course using the words of others without attribution is plagiarism. Graders easily recognize any deviation from your own characteristic writing voice, and routinely check such passages using efficient string searches. Students sometimes justify borrowing because someone else has said something much better than they could, or they may feel that there is only one way to say it. Neither is necessarily true. By recasting the information in your own words you demonstrate your mastery of it - besides the fact that plagiarism is an actionable offense.

Supporting evidence that comes from unpublished work other than your own must be clearly identified as such, and attributed to a specific person. For example, "The melting point of compound X is 165 °C (unpublished; personal communication from Dr. John Smith, postdoc in the research group of Prof. Jane Doe)."

It must be entirely clear what you did and what others did; anything and everything that was done by anyone other than yourself must be attributed specifically, with name and details. For example, "The clone of protein X was provided by Dr. John Smith, a postdoc in the research group of Prof. Jane Doe." Ambiguities on this issue will not be regarded favorably by the reading committee. Related to this issue, *do not use the first person plural (we) anywhere.* This is *your* thesis; *there is no* we. Although the use of the first person singular is very restricted in the published literature in all fields of science, a thesis is one of the very few exceptions.

Figures, Graphics, and Illustrations

The ACS Style Guide, Chapter 15, is an excellent resource for tips and suggestions for creating figures for scientific publications. All figures must be numbered and include a stand-alone figure caption. Each figure must also be referenced within the body of the thesis. Stylistically, you should capitalize the word "Figure" when it is followed by its number when you refer to it in the text, e.g. "In Figure 2 the differences can be seen…" Figures should be numbered sequentially in the order that they appear in the text. Figures include charts, images, graphics, and anything not counted as a Scheme, Table, or Equation. All figures must be included in the "Figure Table of Contents" in the intro section to the thesis.

It is preferred that you create all figures for your thesis on your own. If, however, you must use a figure that has been published before, you must obtain permission from the publisher, *in writing*, before you may use the figure. All published journal articles have a "Rights and Permissions" link which will lead you to the site where you ask for permission to use a figure. If you use a previously published figure, even if it was published by members of your research group, you must obtain permission, and you must include a statement in the figure caption (usually dictated by the publisher) that says something similar to: "Reprinted from ref 12. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society." If you include even a part of an image or change an image slightly you still must receive permission to publish, but instead of saying "reprinted" you should say "adapted." Please note that although figures and images are copyrighted, the data is not, so if you create your own figure from published data, you do not need permission, you only need to cite that the figure was create with "data from reference 12." You must submit all written

permissions for reproduced figures along with your thesis upon final submission or you may be charged with an honor code violation for copyright infringement.

If you wish to use images in your figures make sure that they are not copyrighted and fall into the category of public domain if they are not your original pictures. Graphics and images included in ChemDraw templates are free to use in scientific publications without further citation.

Appendices

All data and methods essential to replicate your work must be present in the thesis. Some large or unconventional items, or uninteresting but necessary details such as extensive data tables, standard characterization measures, etc. may be included as a supplement to the main text in the form of one or more appendices. Your advisor can suggest which items are appropriate for the appendix vs. the main text.

ORAL THESIS DEFENSE – DEPARTMENTAL COMPREHENSIVE EXAM

The Departmental Comprehensive Exam is styled as an Oral Thesis Defense. A student will give a 15-minute oral presentation summarizing their thesis results to a panel of 3-4 faculty members. A 5-minute question and answer period will follow the presentation. The faculty members present will determine a grade based on the following criteria:

- Introduction/Background and Aims: Clearly states purpose and impetus for research, presents logical progression of related studies to motivate primary hypothesis.
- Results: Results are logically displayed, clear and well-described.
- **Conclusions:** Findings are clearly stated and follow from results presented; Future directions are mentioned.
- **Presentation:** Appropriate amount of information, clear presentation, confidence, effective use of graphics.
- **Responses to Questions:** Clear and effective response to questions asked.

SENIOR THESIS WORK ASSESSMENT AND GRADING

Senior Thesis Independent Work appears on your transcript as CHM 984, and you earn a letter grade for your Senior Thesis Work which counts as 2 courses in your overall GPA but does not count toward the 31 courses needed for graduation. The Senior Departmental Comprehensive Exam appears on your transcript as CHM 983, and does not count toward the 31 courses for graduation nor toward the overall GPA. Scores for both Senior Thesis and Senior Comprehensive Exam count toward Departmental Honors calculations.

Senior Thesis Grading.

The Senior Thesis is evaluated for both *effort* and *scholarship*. Each thesis is evaluated independently by the thesis advisor and by a thesis reading committee. The thesis advisor evaluates both *effort* and *scholarship* using separate guidelines contained. The thesis advisor submits the completed evaluations and a brief note justifying the evaluation to the Director of Undergraduate Studies.

The thesis reading committee evaluates *scholarship only* using the same guidelines as the thesis advisor. The reading committee consists of two faculty members from each of the four subdisciplines, Biological Chemistry, Inorganic and Materials Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, and Physical Chemistry. The two faculty members in each sub-discipline of the committee evaluate all theses in that sub-discipline. Theses that bridge disciplines are read by additional subdiscipline members as necessary. Members of the thesis reading committee submit their evaluations and a brief letter justifying the evaluation to the Director of Undergraduate Studies. The DUS and the thesis reading committee aim to maintain an overall balance of grades across subdisciplines, as well as compliance with University's grading expectations.

Guidance about Senior Thesis Scholarship

Effort guidance is provided separately.

A-range scholarship is characterized by <u>all</u> of the following:

- the Abstract is both concise and thorough, and stands alone as a succinct summary;
- the Background and Significance section of the Introduction convincingly establishes scientific motivation, is thoroughly researched, and masterfully integrates the thesis problem into the context of prior knowledge;
- the Specific Aims section of the Introduction is succinct, specific, and complete;
- the Experimental Approach section of the Introduction convincingly justifies the approaches used;
- the Methods are succinct and complete;
- all Results are described clearly and effectively, depicted accurately with appropriate figures, presented in a logical format, and are journal-quality;
- in the Discussion section, all results are analyzed thoroughly, interpreted soundly and creatively in context of prior knowledge, and the discussion is journal-quality;
- Citations within the body of the text are appropriate, accurate, and *comprehensive*, are uniformly formatted throughout the text, and are all included in an uniform format in the References list;
- overall, the thesis is a masterpiece of impeccable scholarship throughout, and is written in clear, idiomatic, accurate and precise, sophisticated English.

A B-range thesis may in part resemble an A-range thesis, but may exhibit one or more of these minor deficiencies:

- the Abstract is less than concise or thorough, or would require revision to stand alone as a succinct summary;
- the Background and Significance section of the Introduction discusses scientific context and motivation, provides an accurate overview of the thesis problem, and integrates it into the context of prior knowledge;
- the Specific Aims section of the Introduction is complete;
- the Experimental Approach section of the Introduction provides some justification of the approaches used;
- the Methods are complete;
- most Results are described clearly and effectively, and depicted accurately with appropriate figures and presented in a somewhat logical manner;

- in the Discussion section, many of the results are analyzed thoroughly in the context of prior knowledge
- Citations within the body of the text are mostly appropriate and accurate, are uniformly formatted throughout the text, and are included in a uniform format in the References list;
- overall, the thesis displays very good scholarship, and is written in clear, idiomatic, accurate and precise English.

A C-range thesis may in part resemble a B-range thesis, but may exhibit one or more of these significant deficiencies:

- the Abstract includes the major points but does not communicate them effectively;
- the Introduction is sometimes confusing or disorganized;
- the Background and Significance section of the Introduction discusses scientific context and motivation, and provides an accurate overview of the thesis problem; the Specific Aims section of the Introduction is complete but unspecific or verbose;
- the Experimental Approach section of the Introduction provides little justification of the approaches used;
- the Methods are incomplete;
- some Results are not described clearly, nor depicted accurately with appropriate figures, and logical arguments are not developed fully;
- in the Discussion section, some of the results are not analyzed thoroughly or interpreted soundly within the context of prior knowledge
- some of the Citations used may be inappropriate or inaccurate, some sections may not be cited thoroughly, some of the citations may be missing from the References list, and the formatting may not be consistent throughout the document;
- overall, the thesis displays average scholarship, or is written in unclear or cumbersome English.

A D-range thesis may in part resemble a C-range thesis, but may exhibit one or more of these major deficiencies:

- the Abstract misses some major points or communicates the main points poorly;
- the Background and Significance section of the Introduction provides little or no scientific context or motivation nor a useful overview of the thesis problem;
- the Specific Aims section of the Introduction is incomplete, unspecific, or verbose;
- the Experimental Approach section of the Introduction provides inadequate justification of the approaches used;
- the Methods are incomplete;
- many Results are not described clearly, nor depicted accurately with appropriate figures, and logical arguments are difficult to comprehend;
- in the Discussion section, many of the results are not analyzed thoroughly or interpreted soundly in the context of prior knowledge;
- more than a few of the Citations used may be inappropriate or inaccurate, many sections may not be cited thoroughly, some of the citations may be missing from the References list, and the formatting may not be consistent throughout the document;
- overall, the thesis displays poor scholarship, or is written in unclear or cumbersome English;

An F-range thesis exhibits one or more of these fundamental deficiencies:

- the Abstract misses most major points and communicates the points poorly;
- the Introduction is confusing and disorganized;
- the Background and Significance section of the Introduction provides no scientific context or motivation and no overview of the thesis problem;
- the Specific Aims section of the Introduction is missing or incomplete;
- the Experimental Approach section of the Introduction provides no justification of the approaches used;
- the Methods are incomplete;
- most of the Results are not described, figures are missing, and key findings are missing
- in the Discussion section, most of the results are not analyzed at all or placed within the broader context of prior knowledge
- overall, the thesis displays little evidence of scholarship.

Guidance about Senior Thesis *Effort*

Scholarship guidance is provided separately.

An A-range effort is characterized by <u>all</u> of the following:

- the student took both intellectual and practical responsibility for all aspects of his or her project from the beginning;
- the student developed context and approaches for his or her project by uncovering relevant materials well beyond those provided by the advisor or research mentor;
- the student persisted diligently in the face of failures, and suggested thoughtful alternatives once persistence appeared fruitless;
- the student was fully engaged in all the work of the research group, and was an active contributor to all group meetings;
- the student was an excellent group citizen, doing more than his or her share of common duties, and being highly respectful of lab rules and of the space and needs of coworkers.
- the student invested an amount of time, both under direction and under his/her own initiative, to move the project forward at a rate that exceeded expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor

A B-range effort may in part resemble an A-range effort, but may exhibit one or more of these minor deficiencies:

- the student took intellectual and practical responsibility after some delay, or for only some aspects of his or her project;
- the student developed some context or approaches for his or her project, but used mostly sources cited in material provided by the advisor or research mentor;
- the student persisted in the face of failures, but usually sought alternatives from others;
- the student was fully engaged in some of the work of the research group, or was an active contributor in some but not all group meetings;
- the student was a very good group citizen, doing his or her share of common duties, being respectful of lab rules, and being considerate of the space and needs of coworkers.
- the student invested an amount of time adequate to move the project forward at a rate that met expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor

A C-range effort may in part resemble a B-range effort, but may exhibit one or more of these significant deficiencies:

- the student took intellectual or practical responsibility but not both, or took responsibility only late, or for only limited aspects of his or her project;
- the student used only the material provided by the advisor or research mentor and did not go beyond it, or did not develop context or approaches;
- the student persisted in the face of failures, but did not seek alternatives;
- the student was engaged in little of the work of the research group, or was an irregular participant in group meetings;
- the student was an acceptable group citizen but did less than his or her share of common duties, or was sometimes inconsiderate of the space or needs of coworkers or neglectful of lab rules.
- the student invested an amount of time that moved the project forward at a rate that fell somewhat short of the expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor

A D-range effort may in part resemble a C-range effort, but may exhibit one or more of these major deficiencies:

- the student took little or no intellectual or practical responsibility for his or her project;
- the student made little or no use of the material provided by the advisor or research mentor;
- the student did not persist in the face of failures;
- the student was engaged in little or none of the work of the research group, or was an infrequent participant in group meetings;
- the student was a poor group citizen, doing far less than his or her share of common duties or being often inconsiderate of lab rules or of the space or needs of coworkers.
- the student invested an amount of time that moved the project forward at a rate that fell far short of the expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor

An F-range effort exhibits one or more of these fundamental deficiencies:

- the student took little or inconsistent interest in his or her project;
- the student was poorly acquainted with the material provided by the advisor or research mentor;
- the student completed little or no work;
- the student showed little or no evidence of being engaged with the research group;
- the student was an unacceptable group citizen.
- the student invested too little time to move the project forward

See the appendix for a copy of the Senior Thesis grading forms.

SENIOR THESIS FORMAT AND BINDING GUIDELINES

Every senior thesis must meet the formatting and binding requirements indicated below. These guidelines a) permit the Seeley G. Mudd Manuscript Library to archive your document, b) help you produce a professionally formatted thesis, and c) assist our faculty in evaluating the thesis by giving them a clear, clean layout of your work.

Copies

You will submit an electronic copy of your thesis by 4:30 PM on Monday, April 15, 2024. Your material must be logged in by the Undergraduate Administrator to assure the department that you met the deadline and in order to avoid late penalties.

You will submit the PDF copy of your thesis to Thesis Central – Seeley G. Mudd Library. Please see <u>http://rbsc.princeton.edu/policies/senior-thesis-submission-information-students</u> for instructions of thesis submission. It is the policy of the University that all researchers have access to senior theses on deposit in Mudd Library. In addition, "fair use," as defined by the federal copyright law, allows for limited copying of senior theses on deposit in Mudd. Under extraordinary circumstances, a student may petition the Dean of the College in writing to restrict access to a thesis for a specified period of time.

If you have any difficulties converting your thesis to a PDF document, please consult the New Media Center for assistance in order to maintain your formatting, figures, graphs, etc.

You may want copies for your advisor, yourself, your parents, etc.

Local resources for binding include, but are not limited to, Triangle Repro (150 Nassau Street, Princeton - (609) 924-4630) and Smith-Shattuck Bookbinding (759 State Road, Princeton (609) 497-1445).

Formatting

Software: The use of Microsoft Office Word is recommended but not required. Please work with your faculty advisor to determine the most appropriate software for the development of your thesis document.

Spacing: The thesis should be typed, double-spaced on standard size paper with the margins listed below.

Left	1"	<i>N.B.</i> A left margin of $1\frac{1}{2}$ " is suggested for a hardbound copy
Тор	1"	
Bottom	1"	
Right	1"	

¹⁾ Taken in part, with permission, from the Dissertation/Thesis requirements published by Seely G. Mudd library. (http://www.princeton.edu/~mudd/thesis/MuddDissertationRequirements.pdf)

Margins: Your margins should be set as follows:

Font: Standard fonts such as Times New Roman or Arial are preferred. If using other fonts, be sure to use embeddable Type 1 or TrueType fonts. Script, italic, or ornamental fonts are not acceptable. Italicized font may be used for non-English words and quotations, and for other judicious uses.

Font size should be equivalent in scale to 10 point Arial or 12 point Times New Roman. These rules apply to captions, and bibliographies. Footnotes and endnotes can be one point smaller than the body of the text. Exceptions may be made only for tables and figures produced by different technology or by a graphic artist.

The document should use only one style of font. Exceptions are made only for graphs, charts, pictures, or photographs that are imported from programs that do not allow captions and other attached material to be altered.

<u>Widows and Orphans</u>: Avoid "widow" lines (short lines ending a paragraph at the top of a page) and also "orphan" lines which are headings, subheadings or a single line of a paragraph at the bottom of a page as much as possible.

<u>**References</u>**: Follow the ACS Style Guidelines for listing references and formatting the bibliography. A copy of the ACS Style Guide is available under E-reserves on the Senior Chemistry Canvas site. Your bibliography must include titles for all references.</u>

<u>Illustrations - Tables, figures, photos, and images</u>: The term 'illustrations' covers all non-text elements of a thesis, such as line drawings, graphs, maps, photographs, facsimiles of manuscript pages, so forth. Each illustration must be numbered consecutively. Large illustrations are normally placed on separate pages with the identifying illustration number and page number. All illustrations must meet the margin requirements

Pagination

The title page and copyright page should be unpaginated. All pages before the beginning of the body text of the thesis (abstract, table of contents, lists of tables, acknowledgements, etc.) should be paginated with lower case roman numerals, beginning with iii. All pages of the text, including drawings, illustrations, figures, bibliography, appendices, etc. should be numbered in Arabic numbers, beginning with 1 and running consecutively to the end of the manuscript, including Appendices. Numbers should be located in the bottom center or bottom right margin or top right margin, and should be no closer than ½ inch from an edge. Do not use letter suffixes (10a, 10b). <u>ALL PAGES OF TEXT MUST BE NUMBERED</u>.

Page 1 (unnumbered) - Title Page

TITLE

Your name

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Degree of Bachelor of Arts

To the Department of Chemistry of Princeton University

(date)

Page 2 (unnumbered) – Copyright

If you wish to use a copyright statement, please use the following format on Page 2 of your document:

© Copyright by (Your Full Name), 2024. All rights reserved.

Copyright law protects the rights of the creator. By simply writing your thesis, you own it. Current laws allow the University's Mudd Manuscript Library to make single copies in response to research inquiries. If, in the future, you wish to publish portions or your full thesis, you may do so as the owner without procuring any written permissions.

Page 3 (numbered iii)– Honor Statement

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis, and that this thesis represents my own work in accordance with University regulations.

Signature

Note: The submitted electronic copy **MUST** contain a copy of your signature. You cannot simply type your name.

Page 4 (numbered iv) - Acknowledgments

This optional page is for giving credit to those who helped you with your thesis and/or gave you support to do so. The length and people acknowledged are left to your discretion.

Page 5 (numbered v) – Abstract

No more than one page. Consult the thesis guidelines for content.

Page 6 (numbered beginning with vi) – Table of Contents

Doubled spaced between entries. Entries longer than one line are singled spaced.

Page 7+(numbered sequentially, vii, viii) List of Tables, List of Charts, and List of Figures

Numbered sequentially after Table of Contents with lower case roman numerals. Tables of Figures should include the figure number and title of the figure with the appropriate page.

BODY OF THESIS

Numbered sequentially in Arabic numerals starting with 1

Bibliography

Numbered sequentially in Arabic numerals continuing from after the thesis body

Appendices

Each Appendix section is numbered sequentially starting with Appendix 1. If only one Appendix is included, it is simply "Appendix." Appendix pages are numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals continuing after the bibliography, not as A1, A2... Consult thesis guidelines for content.

RESPECTFUL AND PRODUCTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

The Department of Chemistry is committed to providing an open and supportive learning environment that is free from all forms of discrimination, harassment, exploitation, or intimidation. Academic rigor and intellectual exchange of scientific ideas is an integral part of your development as an independent scientist. However, exchanges with members of the department must be done in a respectful manner and an understanding of the diverse background of all members of the Chemistry Department. Dr. L'Esperance, Director of Undergraduate Studies, and Dr. VanderKam, Manager of Diversity Initiatives, are available to meet with any students to discuss any issues relating to interactions with any member of the departmental community.

APPENDIX

EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS SCHOLARSHIP

Student: Advisor:

This evaluation is to be used by *both* the research advisor *and* the reading committee. It evaluates only the thesis itself, i.e., the contents between the covers. Effort is graded separately, by the research advisor only, using the criteria presented in the document, "*EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS* **EFFORT**."

Circle the point value corresponding to the appropriate statement that describes the scholarship of the thesis in each category. Evaluation is based on a scale of 5, high, to 1, low.

This thesis should be read by the following sub-disciplines of the reading committee: (*check all that apply*)

Biological Inorganic Materials Organic Physical

Each assessment must be justified a brief note that evaluates merit based on the evaluation criteria rather than on comparison with other students. Use the back of this form to provide the required justification, or attach a separate letter.

Abstract

- 5 Stands alone as a succinct summary; concise, thorough, and journal-quality
- **4** Less than concise or thorough, or would require revision to be journal-quality or to stand alone as a succinct summary
 - **3** Includes the major points but does not communicate them effectively
- 2 Misses some major points or communicates points poorly
- <u>1</u> Misses many major points and communicates points poorly

Introduction: Background and Significance

- **5** Convincingly establishes scientific motivation, is thoroughly researched, and masterfully integrates the thesis problem into the context of prior knowledge
- 4 Discusses scientific context and motivation, provides an accurate overview of the thesis problem, and connects it to prior knowledge
- **3** Discusses scientific context, prior knowledge, and motivation, and provides an accurate overview of the thesis problem
- 2 Provides little or no scientific context or motivation or useful overview of the thesis problem
- 1 Provides no scientific context or motivation or overview of the thesis problem

Introduction: Specific Aims section

- ____ 5 Succinct, specific, and complete
- ____ 4 Complete
- ____ 3 Complete but unspecific or verbose
- **2** Complete but unspecific and verbose
- ____1 Incomplete

Introduction: Experimental Approach

- **5** Convincingly justifies the approaches used
- 4 Provides some justification of the approaches used
- **____ 3** Provides little justification of the approaches used
- 2 Provides inadequate justification of the approaches used
- 1 Provides no justification of the approaches used

Methods

- ____ 5 Succinct and complete
- ____ 4 Complete
- ____ **3** Partially incomplete
- **2** Largely incomplete
- 1 Incomplete

Results

- 5 All results are described clearly and effectively, depicted accurately with appropriate figures, presented in a logical format, and are journal-quality
- **4** Most results are described clearly and effectively, and depicted accurately with appropriate figures and presented in a somewhat logical manner
- **3** Some results are not described clearly, nor depicted accurately with appropriate figures, and logical arguments are not developed fully.
- **2** Many results are not described clearly, nor depicted accurately with appropriate figures, and logical arguments are difficult to comprehend
- 1 Many of the results are not described, figures are missing, and key findings are missing

Discussion

- 5 All results are analyzed thoroughly, interpreted soundly and creatively in context of prior knowledge, and the discussion is journal-quality
 - 4 Many of the results are analyzed thoroughly and interpreted soundly in the context of prior knowledge

3 Some of the results are not analyzed thoroughly or interpreted soundly within the context of prior knowledge

- **2** Many of the results are not analyzed thoroughly or interpreted soundly in the context of prior knowledge
- **1** Most of the results are not analyzed at all or placed within the broader context of prior knowledge

Citations

- 5 The citations within the body of the text are appropriate, accurate, and *comprehensive*, are uniformly formatted throughout the text, and are all included in an uniform format in the References list
- 4 The citations within the body of the text are mostly appropriate and accurate, are uniformly formatted throughout the text, and are included in a uniform format in the References list
- 3 Some of the citations used my be inappropriate or inaccurate, some sections may not be cited thoroughly, some of the citations may be missing from the References list, and the formatting may not be consistent throughout the document
- 2 More than a few used may be inappropriate or inaccurate, many sections may not be cited thoroughly, some of the citations may be missing from the References list, and the formatting may not be consistent throughout the document
- <u>1</u> The citations in the body of the text and recorded in the References list are incomplete and formatted poorly

Overall

- **5** A masterpiece of impeccable scholarship throughout, written in clear, idiomatic, accurate and precise, sophisticated English
- **4** Displays very good scholarship and is written in clear, idiomatic, accurate and precise English
- **____ 3** Displays average scholarship or is written in unclear or cumbersome English
- **2** Displays poor scholarship or is written in poor English
- ____ 1 Displays little evidence of scholarship

Recommended Scholarship Numerical Grade: _____

Recommended Scholarship Letter Grade:

	Α+				Α					A-			B+					В		B-			C+	С	C-	D	F
100	99	98	97	96	95	94	93	92	91	90	89	88	87	86	85	84	83	82	81	80	79	78	75	70	65	60	50

Evaluation completed by _____

EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS EFFORT – FACULTY ADVISOR

Student: Advisor:

This evaluation is to be used by the *research advisor only*. Scholarship is evaluated separately, by the research advisor as well as (independently) by the reading committee, using the criteria presented in the document, "EVALUATION OF SENIOR THESIS SCHOLARSHIP."

Circle the point value corresponding to appropriate statement that describes the student's thesis effort in each category. Evaluation is based on a scale of 5, high, to 1, low.

Each assessment must be justified in a brief note that evaluates merit based on the evaluation criteria rather than on comparison with other students. Use the back of this form to provide the required justification, or attach a separate letter.

Project ownership

- 5 The student took both intellectual and practical responsibility for all aspects of his or her project from the beginning
 - 4 The student took intellectual and practical responsibility for most aspects of his or her project and/or with some delay
- 3 The student took consistent intellectual or practical responsibility but not both, or took responsibility only late, or for only limited aspects of his or her project
 - 2 The student took limited and/or inconsistent intellectual or practical responsibility for his or her project
- 1 The student took little or no responsibility for his or her project

Source materials

- 5 The student developed context and approaches for his or her project by uncovering relevant materials well beyond those provided by the advisor or research mentor
- 4 The student developed context or approaches for his or her project using mainly sources ____ cited in material provided by the advisor or research mentor
 - 3 The student used the material provided by the advisor or research mentor without going beyond it, or did not develop context or approaches
 - 2 The student made little or no use of the material provided by the advisor or research mentor
 - 1 The student was poorly acquainted with the material provided by the advisor or research mentor

Persistence

- 5 The student persisted diligently in the face of failures, and suggested thoughtful alternatives once persistence appeared fruitless
 - 4 The student persisted in the face of failures, mostly seeking alternatives from others
- **3** The student persisted in the face of failures, but seldom sought alternatives
- 2 The student did not persist in the face of failures
- 1 The student did not persist irrespective of success or failure

Group engagement

- 5 The student was fully engaged intellectually in all the work of the research group, and was an active contributor to all group meetings
- 4 The student was engaged intellectually in much of the work of the research group and/or was an active contributor in many group meetings
- **3** The student was engaged in little of the work of the research group, or was an irregular participant in group meetings
- 2 The student was engaged in little or none of the work of the research group, or was an infrequent participant in group meetings
- 1 The student showed little or no evidence of being engaged with the research group

Group citizenship

- **5** The student was an excellent group citizen, doing more than his or her share of common duties, and being highly respectful of lab rules and of the space and needs of coworkers
 - 4 The student was a very good group citizen, doing his or her share of common duties, being generally respectful of lab rules and considerate of the space and needs of coworkers
 - 3 The student was an acceptable group citizen but did less than his or her share of common duties, or was sometimes inconsiderate of the space or needs of coworkers or neglectful of lab rules
- 2 The student was a poor group citizen, doing far less than his or her share of common duties or being often inconsiderate of lab rules or of the space or needs of coworkers
- ____ 1 The student was an unacceptable group citizen

Commitment

- 5 The student invested an amount of time, both under direction and under his/her own initiative, to move the project forward at a rate that exceeded expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor
- **4** The student invested an amount of time adequate to move the project forward at a rate that met expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor
- **3** The student invested an amount of time that moved the project forward at a rate that fell somewhat short of the expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor
- **2** The student invested an amount of time that moved the project forward at a rate that fell far short of the expectations mutually agreed between student and advisor
- 1 The student invested too little time to move the project forward

Recommended *Effort* Numerical Grade: _____

Recommended *Effort* Letter Grade: _____

	Α+				Α					A -			B+				В			B-			C+	С	C-	D	F
100	99	98	97	96	95	94	93	92	91	90	89	88	87	86	85	84	83	82	81	80	79	78	75	70	65	60	50

Student:	Advisor:
Evaluator:	

Instructions:

The Senior Comprehensive Exam in Chemistry is an oral presentation that the student will give to a panel of 3 faculty members. The examination will last 25 minutes and typically begins with the student presenting a brief (15-minute) summary of their thesis. This is followed by questions that the faculty members will ask about the thesis, its background, and how it relates to other topics in chemistry. The faculty members present will determine a grade based on the quality of the presentation and the student's ability to answer questions. The grade will appear on the transcript as the "senior departmental exam grade."

<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1 = Marginal 2 = Acceptable 3 = Exceeds Expectations

Scoring Criteria:

Exceeds Expectations (3) – Demonstrates creativity, originality, or understanding beyond basic expectations.

Acceptable (2) – Meets basic expectations for creativity, originality, and understanding.

Marginal (1) – Displays below-average creativity, originality, or understanding of content.

Rating	Category	
]	Introduction/Background and Aims: Clearly states purpose and impetus for research, presents logical progression of related studies to motivate primary hypothesis	ONTENT
	Results: Results are logically displayed, clear and well-described	Ō
	Conclusions: Findings are clearly stated and follow from results presented; Future directions are mentioned	
ן ו	Presentation: Appropriate amount of information, clear presentation, confidence, effective use of graphics	
]	Responses to Questions: Clear and effective response to questions asked	

General Comments:

	A+				Α					A -				В	+		В			B-			C+	С	C-	D	F
100	99	98	97	96	95	94	93	92	91	90	89	88	87	86	85	84	83	82	81	80	79	78	75	70	65	60	50

Overall Rating (Please circle the numeric rating on the grade scale):

RESOURCES

People

Director of Undergraduate Studies Assc. Director of Undergraduate Studies Undergraduate Administrator Princeton Chemistry Librarian Robert L'Esperance Susan VanderKam Shafon McNeil Emily Wild rpl@princeton.edu skillian@princeton.edu smcneil@princeton.edu ewild@princeton.edu

Technology Support

https://chemistry-it.princeton.edu/

McGraw Center for Teaching and Learning

https://mcgraw.princeton.edu/

Princeton Writing Center https://writing.princeton.edu/writing-center

Chemistry Style Guide: The ACS Guide to Scholarly Communication Website:

https://pubs.acs.org/doi/book/10.1021/acsguide Chemical Conventions Section: <u>https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acsguide.40401</u> General Style Conventions (Italics, hyphens, abbreviations, etc.) <u>https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acsguide.50301</u> Numeric Conventions: <u>https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acsguide.50401</u>

Other Important University Support Services

Rights, Rules, and Responsibilities
https://rrr.princeton.edu/
Office of Disability Services
https://ods.princeton.edu/
University Counseling and Psychological Services
https://uhs.princeton.edu/counseling-psychological-services
Cultural and Affinity Groups
https://www.princeton.edu/one-community/cultural-affinity-groups
Office of Diversity and Inclusion
https://odi.princeton.edu/
https://inclusive.princeton.edu/
Sexual Misconduct and Options for Reporting Incidents
https://sexualmisconduct.princeton.edu/
https://inclusive.princeton.edu/addressing-concerns/file-a-report